Introduction

2019 will be a watershed moment for global information technology (IT). It will mark the first time that the commercial 5G service will go operational nationwide in South Korea. Studies suggest that the 5G technology will offer data transmission speeds 10 to 100 times faster than the current 4G Long-Term Evolution (LTE) networks. This will not only allow faster exchange of data, it will open up new possibilities for the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in areas such as development of autonomous vehicles or drones and construction of smart cities, among others. This Issue Brief will explore the process by which South Korea has moved forward with the initial stages of this process and point out some of the challenges associated with the transition to a new nationwide IT infrastructure.

5G and the Fourth Industrial Revolution

5G wireless technology presents an enormous opportunity for South Korea but most of the critical breakthroughs will likely come from business-to-business (B2B) interactions in the near future. According to a survey of executives conducted by GSMA in 2017, for instance, the business community expects much of the new revenues to come from services in the B2B rather than the business-to-consumer (B2C) and business-to-government (B2G) domains (Table 1).\(^1\)

South Korea’s three major mobile carriers (KT Corporation, SK Telecom Co., Ltd., and LG U Plus Corp) are expected to invest a total of KRW 20-25 trillion (USD 20-25 billion) in laying down the 5G network infrastructure.\(^2\) Of this amount, KRW 7.4812 trillion (USD 7.48 billion) will be allocated to building 5G base stations during 2019-23 (Figure 1).\(^3\) Initial deployment will be focused around major cities including the Seoul metropolitan area.\(^4\) Considering the time needed to commercialize
the software products for 5G network, commercial 5G services are not likely to be in widespread use until 2023.5

**[Table 1] New Sources of Revenues for 5G**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Most important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Less important</th>
<th>Least important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business (B2B, B2B2C)</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer (B2C)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government (B2G, B2G2C)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GSMA(CEO 5G Survey, October 2016)

According to a report released by the KT Economic and Management Research Institute,7 South Korea’s 5G network is expected to inject at least KRW 30.3 trillion (USD 30.3 billion)8 into the South Korean economy by 2025, which will be 1.51 percent of the country’s gross domestic product (GDP) in 2025. The report estimates that this number would grow to at least KRW 47.8 trillion (USD 47.8 billion) by 2030 (2.08 percent of the GDP). Companies are striving to develop new 5G services that can yield such growth.

**Figure 1. Investment in 5G Base Station (KT, SKT, LG U plus)**

(Unit: USD million)

Source: National Assemblyman Song Hui-gyeong9
The Moon administration sees the 5G wireless technology as the launchpad for South Korea’s takeoff into the Fourth Industrial Revolution. The impact is expected to be significant on all sectors of the economy. In manufacturing, for instance, the next-generation smart factories would enable companies to reduce supply chain costs and improve quality. Usage of autonomous driving and truck platooning is expected to become more pervasive. More widespread use of big data, AI, and biometric authentication would also bring about a paradigm shift in the financial sector. 5G would open the floodgates for higher quality virtual reality and augmented reality, which will change immersive media experiences. Significant transformation is also expected in the healthcare industry as people will have easier access to their own health data. Remote surgery and medical treatment may become easier and more widespread. Drone usage in courier service is expected to shorten delivery time and cost. Retail industry will focus even more on inventory and logistics rather than physical displays and showrooms. In agriculture, development and application of smart farming are expected to increase agricultural productivity.

Figure 2. Value-Added by 5G

(Unit: USD 100 million)
Much of the research and development among the country’s three major mobile carriers are focused on realizing these socio-economic benefits (Figure 2). To support this effort, South Korea’s Ministry of Science and ICT announced a plan in October 2018 to invest KRW 86.3 billion (USD 86.3 million) by 2020 in five areas: smart factory, smart city, autonomous vehicle, immersive media, and disaster/safety. In December 2018, the National Assembly passed a special tax law to reduce the tax burden among the three major South Korean mobile carriers by allowing a tax deduction equivalent to 3 percent of the investment in 5G base stations established outside of Seoul, Incheon, and Gyeonggi Province during 2019-20.

Security Challenges

While there are clear benefits to the nationwide deployment of 5G services, South Korea must also address some serious concerns related to its dependence on Chinese equipment and technology. In particular, LG U Plus relies on Huawei equipment and technology for some of its 5G network. As of December 2018, 5,804 5G base stations have been installed nationwide by the three major mobile carriers. Among them, 4,133 stations are operated by LG U Plus in Seoul and Daejeon metropolitan area. While it is not clear how many of these stations are linked to Huawei, there is growing pressure on LG U Plus to exercise greater caution and transparency in its utilization of Chinese equipment and technology.

Research has shown, for instance, that a significant number of cyberattacks against government agencies and companies around the world have originated from China. In a recent report by the

Source: KT Economic and Management Research Institute
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cybersecurity company Carbon Black, China and Russia accounted for nearly half of all politically motivated cybersecurity incidents between July and November of 2018. Some of these incidents are linked to the Chinese government and companies like Huawei and ZTE. Huawei, for instance, is facing a 10-count indictment by the U.S. government for violating T-Mobile’s intellectual property rights and evading U.S. sanctions against Iran through its subsidiary Skycom Tech Co Ltd. The Chinese government, in coordination with Chinese telecommunications companies, have also been found to have transferred confidential data from the headquarters of the African Union to servers in Shanghai over a period of five years without prior consent. Bloomberg’s recent report about tiny spy chips in Supermicro server motherboards widely used by many U.S. companies also raised concerns about the potential for Chinese hardware hack. This report was especially disconcerting due to the fact that Chinese companies supply approximately 75 percent of all mobile equipment and 90 percent of all computer parts around the world.

These incidents, while not isolated, have raised concerns among many countries about the national security implications of relying on Chinese technology. According to an unpublished memo released by the U.S. National Security Council on January 30, 2018, China has invested quite heavily in 5G network development. The report goes on to recommend that the U.S. must temper its dependence on China’s 5G technology. This view is widely shared among other countries such as Australia, Canada, New Zealand, Japan, France, Germany, and the Czech Republic.

Figure 3. Incidence of Cyberattacks Against the South Korean Government, 2015-17
South Korea must begin to take this threat seriously given that its government agencies continue to be the target of cyberattacks from mainland China (Figure 3). Both hardware and source code hackings pose a serious threat to South Korea’s national security. South Korean authorities are currently investigating how many Supermicro motherboards are being used in the country and are considering remedial measures to address this security weakness. However, the government response related to the usage of Chinese equipment in 5G networks has been relatively subdued. The official position is that the South Korean government will refrain from intervening directly in the day-to-day operations of private telecommunication companies. Instead, the government has encouraged LG U Plus to respond to these security concerns through third-party inspection of Huawei source codes. The results of this finding is likely to be made public during the first half of 2019.

Meanwhile, LG U Plus has argued that the management and operation of the telecommunications system is different in South Korea from other countries like Australia and the United States. In the former, the network system is managed by service providers whereas in the latter, equipment providers manage and operate the networks themselves. LG U Plus also argues that the company’s 5G network is not connected to the external network and that it is thoroughly monitored and managed by its staff. Critics argue that these conditions do not preclude every possible ways in which security can be breached in the LG U Plus operated network.
### Table 2. Geographic Distribution of 4G LTE Network in South Korea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Samsung</th>
<th>Ericsson</th>
<th>Nokia</th>
<th>Huawei</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SKT</td>
<td>Capital area,</td>
<td>Gyeongsang</td>
<td>Jeolla, Gangwon</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chungcheong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT</td>
<td>Capital area,</td>
<td>Gangwon,</td>
<td>Chungcheong (south), Jeolla</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Busan, Ulsan</td>
<td>Chungcheong (north), Gyeongsang</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGU+</td>
<td>Chungcheong, Jeolla</td>
<td>Chungcheong, Jeolla, Gangwon</td>
<td>Gyeongsang, Capital area (south)</td>
<td>Seoul, Capital area (north), Gangwon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Eugene Investment & Securities

### Figure 4. Distribution of 4G LTE Equipment in South Korea
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Source: Eugene Investment & Securities

5G is also only a part of the story. South Korea must seriously reconsider its dependence on Chinese technology and equipment in 4G LTE. This is because the transition to 5G will be phased in over time with 4G LTE expected to play an important role in this transition. This is especially so given the fact...
that the 5G network will initially operate in a Non-Standalone (NSA) mode. That is, the 5G services
will utilize existing LTE networks in the initial onset of its deployment. This is an intermediate
temporary arrangement which is expected to be phased out in the long run as the 5G infrastructure
becomes more robust and established. This is also partly why the mobile carriers that provided the
4G LTE services are also the preferred providers of the 5G network. Based on available data, Huawei
gears account for a small but significant portion of the total 4G wireless network (Table 2, Figure 4).

Conclusion

The 5G network holds many promises for South Korea’s economy and society. However, there are
significant risks associated with the government’s decision to permit the use of Chinese equipment
and technology. South Korea has attempted to manage this risk through diversification of equipment
and service providers. However, this does little to address the vulnerabilities in the network and which
can be exploited by outside actors. More stringent regulation and oversight are critical to safeguard
South Korea’s national security.

These efforts must also move beyond a narrow focus on 5G. Naver, South Korea’s biggest web portal,
is reportedly using Huawei equipment and technology in its new Internet Data Center (IDC). Given
that the company offers cloud services for various government agencies and public entities, this
decision has raised some eyebrows in South Korea. Naver executives claim that Huawei devices and
equipment are not used in the Pyongchon IDC, which handles all cloud services for government and
public institutions. This, however, does not address the potential security breach in the private
domain.

Reliance on Chinese technology and equipment in wired network is even more disconcerting. KT
Corporation, for instance, has recently announced its decision to use Huawei equipment for
NongHyup Bank’s network upgrade project, one of the country’s four major commercial banks.
Huawei’s transmission equipment will be used to build the major network lines connecting 6,200
NongHyup Bank branches across South Korea. As the network provider for all major financial
institutions, KT may also choose to utilize Huawei equipment for the three other largest financial
institutions (i.e. Shinhan Bank, KB Kookmin Bank, and KEB Hana Bank).

Due to Huawei technology’s strong price competitiveness, dependence on Huawei equipment extends
beyond South Korea’s financial institutions to other key areas of the economy. For instance, Huawei
has been chosen to supply the backbone networks for both the Korea Securities Computing
Corporation (Koscom) and the Korea Electric Power Corporation (KEPCO). Huawei also
provides the core equipment for telecommunications systems in Seoul Metro lines Nos. 1 to 4 and
Nos. 7 and 8. These arrangements together with past pattern and practice suggest that South Korea
is vulnerable to potential cyber-attacks in strategic sectors of the economy.
It is important that the South Korean government takes these threats seriously and attempt to find a robust solution to address the vulnerabilities in its IT infrastructure. One possibility is to phase out the South Korea’s reliance on Chinese equipment and technology. This is justifiable in the sense that there are adequate alternatives to Huawei, such as Samsung, Nokia, and Ericsson. The other is to continually invest in the development of better cybersecurity system. It is important to recognize that there is no single one-size fits all solution to this problem – that is, cybersecurity requires continual adaptation and development. Finally, instead of leaving cybersecurity to individual firms, it may be best to pool the expertise and resources of both the public and private sector. Developing a more cooperative and open arrangement between the government and businesses may be an ideal approach to addressing this shortcoming.
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